Main steps in the selection process

- Definition of selection phases and milestones
- Recruitment of experts
- Reception and registration of proposals: 9 March 2010
- Check of eligibility, exclusion and selection criteria
- Experts' briefing, on site at the Agency
- Assessment of proposals by academic experts
- Consolidation & debriefing with experts to shortlist best proposals
- Inter-service selection panel at the Agency: 21 May 2010
- Consultations of the EU Delegations, National Tempus Offices and Ministries of Education in Tempus partner countries
- Financial verification
- Inter-service selection panel at the Agency for final list of projects
- Decision by the Authorising Officer (EACEA Director)
- Publication of the results & notification of applicants
Total number of applications received

- Received applications
  - 450 proposals
  - 90 (20%) PC coordinator (grant-holder)

- Average budget size: €950,000
- Average consortium size: 13 partners
Breakdown of received applications by target region (2010 vs 2009)

2010
- DCI: 12%
- IPA: 8%
- ENPI EAST: 39%
- ENPI SOUTH: 23%
- MULTI-REGIONAL: 18%

2009
- DCI: 21%
- IPA: 6%
- ENPI EAST: 26%
- ENPI SOUTH: 34%
- MULTI-REGIONAL: 13%
Breakdown of received applications national vs multi-country

- Total applications: 229
- National applications: 171
- Multi-country applications: 55

By region:
- Multiregional: 41
- Western Balkans: 36
- Neighbouring East: 55
- Neighbouring South: 39
- Central Asia: 9

Breakdown of received applications according to type and thematic area

Structural Measures
- 364

Joint Projects
- 88

Curricular Reform
- 220

Governance Reform
- 158

Higher Education and Society
- 72
Breakdown of received applications according to type

2010

- Joint Projects for Curriculum Reform: 8%
- Joint Projects for Governance: 28%
- Joint Projects for Higher Education and Society: 7%
- Structural Measures for Governance: 7%
- Structural Measures for Higher Education and Society: 8%

2009

- Joint Projects for Curriculum Reform: 6%
- Joint Projects for Governance: 49%
- Joint Projects for Higher Education and Society: 29%
- Structural Measures for Governance: 8%
- Structural Measures for Higher Education and Society: 8%
Breakdown of received applications on subject area

- Teacher training, higher education reforms 13%
- Humanities (language, archaeology, culture, law) 7%
- ICT, media, libraries, e-learning 11%
- Management, public admin, business 6%
- Medicine, Veterinary, natural sciences 4%
- Economy, industry, enterprise 7%
- Tourism 4%
- Land, agriculture, environment, food tech 20%
- Social work, vocational training, lifelong learning 6%
- Engineering and applied sciences 20%
- Intrerdisciplinary, multidisciplinary, others 2%

## Eligible vs Ineligible applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>Eligible</th>
<th>Ineligible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **2010**: 89% Eligible, 11% Ineligible
- **2009**: 81% Eligible, 19% Ineligible

### Applications received from EU Member States

| Country | AT | BE | BG | CZ | DE | DK | EE | EL | ES | FI | FR | HU | IE | IT | CY | LV | LT | LU | MT | NL | PL | PT | RO | SE | SI | SK | UK | Total |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Received| 16 | 12 | 1  | 7  | 78 | 2  | 3  | 10 | 25 | 9  | 37 | 2  | 2  | 42 | 2  | 2  | 7  | 1  | 0  | 11 | 14 | 4  | 8  | 31 | 5  | 7  | 22 | 360 |
| Eligible| 16 | 11 | 1  | 5  | 72 | 2  | 3  | 9  | 23 | 8  | 34 | 2  | 2  | 38 | 1  | 2  | 6  | 1  | 0  | 9  | 13 | 3  | 5  | 30 | 5  | 5  | 19 | 325 |
Applications received from Partner Countries

|        | AL | AM | AZ | BA | BY | DZ | EG | GE | IL | JO | KG | KZ | LB | MA | MD | ME | MK | PS | RS | RU | SY | TJ | TM | TN | UA | UZ | 1244 | Total |
|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|     |      |
| Received | 2  | 0  | 3  | 5  | 0  | 1  | 7  | 8  | 3  | 3  | 1  | 1  | 2  | 1  | 3  | 2  | 6  | 3  | 18 | 13 | 2  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2  | 1  | 3  | 90   |
| Eligible | 2  | 0  | 2  | 4  | 0  | 0  | 5  | 8  | 2  | 2  | 0  | 1  | 2  | 1  | 3  | 2  | 4  | 3  | 18 | 9  | 2  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2  | 0  | 3  | 75   |

Recorded Scores after Experts’ Assessment

![Bar chart showing recorded scores after experts' assessment for different categories including DCI, IPA, ENPI E, ENPI E_RU, ENPI S, Multi, and Total. The scores are categorized into 100_70, 69_60, 59_50, and < 50.]
## Overview on selection progress on target regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>Received applications</th>
<th>Eligible proposals</th>
<th>Above the 50% threshold</th>
<th>Proposed for consultation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Balkans</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Asia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Neighbouring area including Russian Federation</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Neighbouring area</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiregional</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>450</strong></td>
<td><strong>400 (89%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>318 (79.5%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>204 (64%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2009:

| TOTAL                                               | 608                   | 495 (81%)          | 377 (76%)              | 204 (54%)                 |

Overview on selection progress on target regions

- **Western Balkans**: Received applications = 81, Eligible proposals = 73, Proposed for consultation = 64
- **Central Asia**: Received applications = 47, Eligible proposals = 35, Proposed for consultation = 27
- **Eastern Neighbouring area including Russian Federation**: Received applications = 27, Eligible proposals = 18, Proposed for consultation = 10
- **South Neighbouring area**: Received applications = 103, Eligible proposals = 93, Proposed for consultation = 50
- **Multiregional**: Received applications = 54, Eligible proposals = 41, Proposed for consultation = 27

## Participation of partner countries in projects proposed for funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>Multi-country</th>
<th>JP</th>
<th>SM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCI</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENPI East</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENPI South</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>64</strong></td>
<td><strong>33</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>50</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This is not the result of the sums. Multi-regional projects are listed in each region concerned.*
Selection Conclusions

Summary results
64 proposals recommended for funding
18 proposals have partner countries as coordinator (28%)

50 Joint Projects
14 Structural measures (22%)

31 proposals are multi-country (48%)

€ 848.000 = average budget size
14 partners = average consortium size, out of which

Next steps
- Notification of results - feedback to applicants
- Contractualisation
- Preparation of the Tempus IV – Fourth call for proposals